Insights into Rupture Physics from Induced Seismicity

Martin **GALIS**^{1,2}, Jean-Paul **AMPUERO**^{3,4} P. Martin **MAI**⁵, Jozef **KRISTEK**^{1,2}

- 1 Comenius University in Bratislava
- 2 Slovak Academy of Sciences
- 3 Geoazur, University Côte d'Azur, Nice, France,
- 4 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, USA
- 5 KAUST King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal, Saudi Arabia











جامعة الملك عبدالله للعلوم والتقنية King Abdullah University of Science and Technology naturally important to better understand
what controls nucleation and size of earthquakes

instead of adding complexity to the system,
we focus on underlying physics

theoretical models may provide
insight into which parameters or processes are controlling
nucleation, growth and arrest of ruptures

arrested and runaway ruptures

in our numerical simulations in laboratory experiments of spontaneous dynamic rupture propagation F_N fault F_S L runaway rupture **localized** load runaway Browingload ruptures ĪV F_s Ш arrested п 25 growing slipped locked ruptures \bigcirc orrested ruptures 15 25 0 0.04 0.08 0.12 0.16 25 20 x (m) 10 0 locked slipped Rubinstein et al., 2007 Galis et al., 2015 5 25 0 10 15 20

arrested ruptures and fluid-injection induced seismicity



the largest arrested rupture



pore pressure

$$M_0^{max-arr} \doteq \frac{0.4255}{\sqrt{\Delta \tau_0}} \left(\frac{\kappa \,\mu_d}{h}\right) \Delta V^{3/2} = \gamma \, \Delta V^{3/2}$$

Two important approximations

- load due to pore-pressure inside the reservoir is approximated by a point force
- the pore-pressure change due to injected fluid is approximated by a response of fully saturated reservoir (following McGarr 2014)







effects of aspect ratio on size of arrested ruptures





ruptured area ruptured length in anti-plane direction ruptured length in in-plane direction

effects of aspect ratio on size of arrested ruptures



ruptured area ruptured length in anti-plane direction ruptured length in in-plane direction



modified from Galis et al. 2019



pore-pressure response to various sources

0

1000

2000

3000

4000

5000

6000

7000

8000

9000 10000



point source in 3D isotropic reservoir (Rice and Cleary 1976, Rudnicki, 1986) ×10⁵ 5 1 dav 4.5 1 month 1 year 3.5 3 2.5 2 1.5 1 0.5 0 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 line source in a 2D axisymmetric reservoir (Rudnicki 1986, Wang 2000) 5 × 10⁵ 1 day 4.5 1 month 1 year 4 3.5 3 2.5 2 1.5 1 0.5 0



effects of pore-pressure models on size of the largest arrested rupture











Can the estimate of the largest arrested rupture be useful?

Development of an enhanced geothermal reservoir near Helsinki, Finland Kwiatek et al., 2019





conclusions

- we have derived a physics-based estimate of seismic moment of the largest arrested rupture, M_{max-arr}
- assuming injection into a saturated reservoir, we have found that $M_{max-arr}$ grows as ~ $V^{3/2}$
- the slope of 3/2 is a rather robust feature that remains preserved for elongated reservoirs with broad range of aspect ratios as well as for ensembles of reservoirs with various pore-pressure models
- consistency of our model with observations across broad range of scales for fluid-injection induced seismicity suggests that our model captures underlying physics
- because induced earthquakes, particularly the largest ones, release accumulated tectonic deformation, concept of our model should be applicable also to natural tectonic earthquakes
- however, due to poorly constrained conditions at the time of nucleation, application to natural earthquakes remains a task for future...

Galis, Ampuero, Cappa, Mai, 2017

Induced seismicity provides insight into why earthquake ruptures stop *Science Advances*, 3(12), eaap7528 advances.sciencemag.org/content/3/12/eaap7528

Galis, Ampuero, Mai, Kristek, 2019 Initiation and arrest of earthquake ruptures due to elongated overstressed regions *Geophysical Journal International*, 217 academic.oup.com/gji/article-abstract/217/3/1783/5322168

martin.galis@uniba.sk

